



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,772	03/30/2004	Mark L. Campbell	CAMPBL.0100	4988
<div>Douglas W. Gilmore NOBLITT & GILMORE, LLC Suite 6000 4800 N. Scottsdale Road Scottsdale, AZ 85251</div>				
			<div>EXAMINER OLSON, MARGARET LINNEA</div>	
			<div>ART UNIT 3782</div>	<div>PAPER NUMBER</div>
			<div>MAIL DATE 09/18/2007</div>	<div>DELIVERY MODE PAPER</div>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/812,772	Applicant(s) CAMPBELL ET AL.	
	Examiner Margaret L. Olson	Art Unit 3782	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Margaret L. Olson.

(3) Dan Noblitt.

(2) Natahn Newhouse.

(4) Gary Newson.

Date of Interview: _____.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 19.

Identification of prior art discussed: Janek (US 5,788,135).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


NATHAN J. NEWHOUSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Atty. Noblitt discussed a more specific interpretation for the claim language "substantially secure engagement" and indicated that applicant intended it to mean "secured with no possible movement in both the first and second positions. Examiners raised doubt as to whether drawings and specification support this interpretation of the claim. Claiming the pull rod and pull rod receiving portion more specifically were discussed as possible changes to improve the claims, but no new claim language was approved.